**To:** rogers, rick[rogers.rick@epa.gov]

Cc: Coe, Mary[Coe.Mary@epa.gov]; Mulkey, Marcia[Mulkey.Marcia@epa.gov]; Armstead, John

A.[Armstead.John@epa.gov]; Field, Stephen[Field.Stephen@epa.gov]; Parent,

Suzanne[Parent.Suzanne@epa.gov]; Briggs-Steuteville, Sheila[Briggs-Steuteville.Sheila@epa.gov]

From: Ajl, Diane

**Sent:** Sun 4/20/2014 3:40:40 PM

**Subject:** RE: Request for EPA waste opinion on 4-methylcyclohexanemethanol (MCHM)

Hi Rick -

Sorry for not responding sooner, but I'm just returning from a week away from the office.

I don't recall such an opinion paper being drafted (or even being requested by the state). Let's discuss further when we're all back here this week.

Thanks -

Diane

From: rogers, rick

**Sent:** Thursday, April 17, 2014 2:24 PM

To: Ail, Diane

Cc: Coe, Mary; Mulkey, Marcia; Armstead, John A.

**Subject:** Request for EPA waste opinion on 4-methylcyclohexanemethanol (MCHM)

Diane,

John Armstead received a request from WVDEP for a written statement regarding EPA's opinion on a waste determination for the chemical MCHM which was spilled in the EIK River, WV a couple of months ago. After talking to a few people here, I heard that such an opion paper was drafted at WVDEP's request, but according to WVDEP, they never received it.

Scott Mandirola, Director of WVDEP's Division of Water and Waste Management, called John directly and requested Region 3 to provide something in writing stating EPA's opinion on MCHM being a hazardous waste or not. WVDEP is facing pressure from a community which has a landfill that had been accepting what DEP determined to be a solid, non-hazardous waste. This community has temporarily stopped the landfill from accepting this waste which resulted from waste water containing MCHM. This waste water was generated from remediation activities after the spill occurred. The material being disposed of was said to be sawdust that had been mixed with this waste water to render it a non-liquid waste.

LCD would like to provide this document to WVDEP. I had heard that you had played a role in its development. We would like to get the ball rolling to provide this document which, I am sure, will need to go through a long concurrence chain.

I was not aware of the earlier request from WVDEP and do not know why it was not sent, but if you can fill me in on what happened so we have an idea of what channels we need to go through, and share a draft with me I'd appreciate it.

Thanks,

Rick

Fax: 215.814.3163 rogers.rick@epa.gov